

NP committee meeting (21st August 2017)

Attending: Keith Williams (KWi), Iestyn John (IJ), Tim Cox (TC), Martin Parkes (MP)

Apologies: Kevin Wooff (KWo)

Actions from the last meeting

Action 1: MP to send 'aims and objectives' template. - *Done*

Action 2: KWi to initially complete aims and objectives table and circulate. All to provide further input. - *Done*

Action 3. KWo to provide input on what plans the renewable group might have in relation to land use (e.g. new builds should include some renewable energy (solar panel, ground source heat pump); larger scale community project on public buildings?) – *Superseded (agreed wording to allow small scale projects which benefit the community).*

Action 4: MP to draft policies upon receiving the completed aims and objectives table. – *Ongoing*

Action 5: All to take photos of parish during the summer, especially key subjects we are discussing (e.g. playing fields, etc.). – *Ongoing*

Action 6: KWi to arrange the next meeting in late August once policies are drafted. - *Done*

Notes from this meeting

MP had coloured the completed 'aims and objectives' table into those items which would form policies and those which should be included in a separate "part B" of community aims which would not be part of the formal NP review by external bodies in relation to planning. Committee agreed with NP's suggestion, although proposed that the need for pavements be woven into a policy statement.

There was discussion of where the village boundary should be drawn, and its justification. Within this boundary it is proposed that small (3 bed or less) infill will be permitted. For the most part, the old village boundary is appropriate, however this splits the village in two and all felt that the concrete area and associated barns belonging to Slide Barton in the centre of the village should be included, but not the field immediately to the west of this (behind houses on the east side of Barrow Road). Culver House, adjacent properties and the village hall, school buildings and associated hard areas should also be within the boundary, but not the school field. This could essentially be justified from a Google Earth image showing where is currently developed versus where is field. IJ also suggested investigating the criteria used by East Devon to establish settlement boundaries in their Villages Plan as a useful basis upon which to establish the village boundary.

MP commented that including the proposed policy to aim for carbon neutral as well as having to be small properties and only allowing infill would likely be too restrictive. All agreed that the priority within the village was small properties. IJ brought to the attention of the committee a policy from the Ottery NP which permits self build within or adjacent to named settlements. Following

discussion, all agreed that something similar allowing self build which aims to be carbon neutral within or immediately adjacent to Payhembury village or named hamlets (Tale, Colestocks, Lower Cheriton, Upton) should be allowed. This self build provision is in addition to the regular build village infill which will have the criteria of the need to be small rather than carbon neutral.

Actions from this meeting

Action 1: MP to draft policies and, following agreement from the NP committee, send to external bodies for comment.

Action 2: All to take photos of parish during the summer, especially key subjects we are discussing (e.g. playing fields, etc.) as well as general pictures in the village and elsewhere.

Action 3: KWi to start drafting the Parish Council Chair's Introduction.

Action 4: Following MP circulating the draft policies, KWi, IJ, TC and KWo to consider how we write the "Part B" Community Aims section.

Action 5: MP to look into the criteria used by East Devon to establish settlement boundaries in their Villages Plan.